

Meeting of Magor and Undy Community Hub Team – Magor Church Hall – 11/07/17

Attendees: Paul Turner (MAGOR), John O'Shaughnessy (Resident), Sally Raggett (Magor Amateur Dramatics), Ben Thorpe (MCC), Cllr. Frances Taylor, Kevin Wright (Resident)

Guest residents: Glenys Davies, Laurence Hando (MAGOR group), Eileen Samuel, Tony Samuel, Vince Black, Sharon Black, Nicola Searle, John Searle, Peter Wilson (Royal British Legion)

Apologies: Rob Ollerton (Magor Churchmen), Cllr. Lisa Dymock, Debra Hill-Howells (MCC), Jo Gillard (GAVO), Glen Ingleson (Resident), Mike Moran (MCC)

The following are a set of 'action notes' of the meeting but they are not intended as verbatim minutes.

	Subject	Decision / Action	Who
1	Purpose of Meeting	Liaison with residents near the site, follow up on actions of last meeting and receive feedback from MUCH/MwUCC/UAFC meeting	
2	Welcome guests and receive apologies for absence	 9 guests were welcomed and apologies for absence noted. 	
3	Notes of previous meetings (Action points)	 MM was still to speak to Desiree Mansfield and would welcome her to attend the next meeting Sale of small piece of land adjacent to 3 Fields Site – ownership to be ascertained following recent sale. The timescale for Rockfield Farm development had now been ascertained and the overall high-level project plan (schedule) for the Magor and Undy Community Hub, subject to some final date checks, could then be made public on the website Ben Thorpe had re-circulated the weblink for Raglan Hall – PT would add to the MUCH website Rob Olerton had circulated a leaflet inviting residents close to the Three Fields Site to this meeting 	MM BT / PT PT
4.	Open discussion with residents	PT welcomed the residents to the meeting and explained, in brief, the history of the 3 Fields site, the attempts to build a 'community centre' on it and now the latest situation; i.e. MUCH working in partnership with MCC. It was believed that there was now a viable plan, based on a survey carried out in 2016, to fulfil the longstanding community's wish to develop the site for the benefit of all the residents of Magor with Undy. With some clear ideas on what could be built on the site and being in a position to recruit an architect, now was the ideal time to involve those residents nearest to the site and most likely to be impacted.	

The residents made it clear that they weren't against having a community centre built (or the principle of there being an associated railway station) but they had some real concerns, including - • The size of the building (was it going to be as big as one of the earlier proposals?) • Whether the building would 'look onto' the houses on the opposite side of the road • Whether it would be aesthetically pleasing • Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) • The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House • What sort of activities and functions would be held there — would there be a bar? • How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had been drafted to help shape the final plans (which would be	
associated railway station) but they had some real concerns, including - • The size of the building (was it going to be as big as one of the earlier proposals?) • Whether the building would 'look onto' the houses on the opposite side of the road • Whether it would be aesthetically pleasing • Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) • The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House • What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? • How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
 including - The size of the building (was it going to be as big as one of the earlier proposals?) Whether the building would 'look onto' the houses on the opposite side of the road Whether it would be aesthetically pleasing Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 The size of the building (was it going to be as big as one of the earlier proposals?) Whether the building would 'look onto' the houses on the opposite side of the road Whether it would be aesthetically pleasing Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 one of the earlier proposals?) Whether the building would 'look onto' the houses on the opposite side of the road Whether it would be aesthetically pleasing Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 Whether the building would 'look onto' the houses on the opposite side of the road Whether it would be aesthetically pleasing Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 the opposite side of the road Whether it would be aesthetically pleasing Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 Whether it would be aesthetically pleasing Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 Whether there would be sufficient car parking for the community centre (and to service a railway station) The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 community centre (and to service a railway station) The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 The impact on the access road to Seabrook House and Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 Southmoor House What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 What sort of activities and functions would be held there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 there – would there be a bar? How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
 How would the establishment be managed and operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had 	
operated? PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
PT, supported by other members of the group, said that other recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
recently built or developed halls and sites (Hartpury, Llanfoist, Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
Raglan, Sennd) had been visited and that ideas based on those examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
examples and the requirements stated in the '2016 survey' would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
would be put to an architect to come up with some options. Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
Nothing was set in concrete (or wood) yet but the residents would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
would be invited to give their views when the proposals had	
been drafted to help shape the final plans (which would be	
publicised in October).	
BT would fix dates (sometime in August) when the MUCH	ВТ
committee could pre-review and shortlist the tendering	
architects and then do a final review to make a selection.	
aremiteets and them do a final review to make a selection.	
A progress update would be given at the September MUCH	
meeting, to which the residents are again invited.	
5. Feedback from SR and KW reported that the meeting had gone well and there	
three-way was a clear desire for the three groups to collaborate and	
meeting (MUCH. ensure that each of the sites are developed to complement	
UAFC, MwUCC) each other.	
MD who chaired the meeting had provided DT with a set of	
MR, who chaired the meeting, had provided PT with a set of draft notes of the meeting which reflected the views of SR and	
KW. The notes would be published when finalised. Another	PT
meeting had been planned for 28 th September 2017	f 1
6. AGM PT reminded the group that it was planned to hold an AGM in	
October when the MUCH group would be formalised and the	
HUB proposals could be launched to the residents.	PT
7. Date of next PT and JO'S thanked everyone for their attendance.	
meeting	
It was agreed the next meeting would be held on Thursday 7 th	
September 6.16pm. [Could Sally or Rob book the room	
please?]	S/RO